arXiv:1206.4255v1 [math.AG] 19 Jun 2012

WEAK LOCAL MONOMIALIZATION OF GERMS OF GENERICALLY FINITE COMPLEX ANALYTIC MORPHISMS

STEVEN DALE CUTKOSKY

1. Introduction

The purpose of this note is to prove a weak local monomialization theorem for germs of morphisms $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ of reduced complex analytic spaces, with the condition that $\dim X = \dim \varphi(X)$. The precise statement is given in the final section. This gives a "weak" generalization of the local monomialization theorem of [6] to generically finite morphisms of analytic spaces. It is also a generalization (in the local setting) to generically finite morphisms of complex analytic spaces of the weak toroidalizion theorem for morphisms of characteristic zero algebraic varieties of Abramovich and Karu [2] and Abramovich, Denef and Karu [3]. This last result has been used by Denef in his new proof of p-adic quantifier elimination [9].

2. Preliminaries on complex analytic spaces

In this section we recall some basic properties of analytic local rings and complex analytic spaces.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that X is a complex analytic space and $p \in X$. Then*

1. *$\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is a Noetherian, Henselian, excellent local ring.*
2. *$\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is equidimensional if and only if its completion $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ is equidimensional.*
3. *$\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is reduced if and only if $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ is reduced.*
4. *$\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is a domain if and only if $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ is a domain.*

Proof. The fact that $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is Noetherian and Henselian is proven in Theorem 45.5, and by fact 43.4, [21]. Excellence is proven in Section 18 of [12] (or Theorem 102, page 291 [20]), and by (ii) of Scholie 7.8.3 [12]. Let $A = \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$. Since A is a local ring, the natural map $A \rightarrow \hat{A}$ is an inclusion. A and $\hat{A}$ have the same Krull dimension (formula 1' of page 175 [20]). Statements 2 and 3 follow from (vii) and (x) of Scholie 7.8.3 [12]. Further, $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ is a domain if and only if $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is a domain by Corollary 18.9.2 [12]. $\square$

The dimension $\dim E$ of a subset E of a complex analytic space X and the local dimension $\dim_a E$ of E at a point $a \in X$ are defined in II.1 and V.4.4 [19]. If E is an analytic space, then $\dim_a E$ is the Krull dimension of $\mathcal{O}_{E,a}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that Y is a reduced complex analytic space, and $\pi : B \rightarrow Y$ is the blow up of a closed complex analytic subspace E of Y. Then Y is reduced. If Y is equidimensional, then B is equidimensional.*

---

partially supported by NSF.

Proof. Suppose that $q \in B$. Let $p = \pi(q) \in Y$. Then $A = \mathcal{O}_{Y,p}$ is reduced (respectively equidimensional if Y is equidimensional) Let $I = \mathcal{I}_{E,p}$ be the stalk of the ideal of E in $\mathcal{O}_{Y,p}$. The A-scheme $P = \mathrm{Proj}(\bigoplus_{n\ge 0} I^n)$ is reduced (respectively equidimensional if Y is equidimensional) (Section 7 of Chapter II [13]). There exists a point q' above p in P such that $\mathcal{O}_{B,q}$ is the analytization of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{P,q'}$, so that these two local rings have the same completion. $\mathcal{O}_{P,q'}$ is excellent (by (ii) of Scholie 7.8.3 [12]). Thus the completion of $\mathcal{O}_{P,q'}$ is reduced (respectively equidimensional if Y is equidimensional), and so $\mathcal{O}_{B,q}$ is reduced (respectively equidimensional if Y is equidimensional). $\square$

Let $\mathrm{Reg}(X)$ denote the open subset of nonsingular points of a complex analytic space X.

Suppose that $\varphi : X \to Y$ is a morphism of complex analytic spaces. Suppose that $p \in X$ and $q = \varphi(p)$. Let $\varphi_p^* : \mathcal{O}_{Y,q} \to \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ be the induced homomorphism of germs of analytic functions, with associated homomorphism $\hat{\varphi}_p^* : \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,q} \to \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ of complete local rings.

Suppose that X is reduced, irreducible, locally irreducible and Y is reduced, irreducible, locally irreducible, and $\varphi : X \to Y$ is a morphism. For $a \in \mathrm{Reg}(X)$, define $\mathrm{rank}_a(\varphi)$ to be the rank of the map on tangent spaces $d\varphi_a : T(X)_a \to T(Y)_{\varphi(a)}$, and

$$\mathrm{rank}(\varphi) = \max\{\mathrm{rank}_a\varphi \mid a \in \mathrm{reg}(X)\},$$

and for $p \in X$,

$$\mathrm{rank}_p(\varphi) = \min\{\mathrm{rank}(\varphi|U)\}$$

For p in X, we have that

$$\mathrm{rank}(\varphi) = \mathrm{rank}_p(\varphi) = \dim_{\varphi(p)} \varphi(X) = \dim \varphi(X), \tag{1}$$

by Theorem 4 and Corollary 2 of V.3.3 [19].

Definition 2.3. *Suppose that $\varphi : X \to Y$ is a morphism of reduced, irreducible and locally irreducible complex analytic spaces. φ is said to be regular if $\varphi(X)$ contains an open subset of Y.*

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that $\varphi : X \to Y$ is a regular morphism of reduced, irreducible, locally irreducible complex analytic spaces. Then there exists a thin analytic subset G of X such that $\varphi(X \setminus G)$ is an open subset of Y, the restriction $\varphi|X \setminus G$ is an open mapping and* $\dim \varphi(G) < \dim Y$.

Proof. For $x \in X$, let $\ell_x\varphi$ be the germ at x of the fiber of $\varphi(x)$ by φ (defined on page 267 of V.3.2 [19]). By the Cartan Remmert Theorem (Theorem 5, V.3.3 [19]), $\dim \ell_x\varphi$ is upper semi continuous on X in the analytic Zariski topology. Let

$$t = \min\{\dim \ell_x\varphi \mid x \in X\}.$$

We have that

$$t = \dim X - \mathrm{rank}(\varphi) = \dim X - \dim \varphi(X) = \dim X - \dim Y,$$

by formula (1) of V.3.3 [19], Theorem 4, V.3.3 [19] and the assumption that φ is regular. Now

$$G = \{x \in X \mid \dim \ell_x\varphi > t\}$$

is a proper subset of X which is closed in the analytic Zariski topology, so that it is a thin set (Proposition of II.3.5 [19]), and $V = X \setminus G$ is an open subset of X on which φ has constant minimal fiber dimension t. Further, by Remmert's Rank Theorem (Theorem 1 of V.6 [19]), for every $p \in X$ there exist arbitrarily small neighborhoods U of p in X such that $\varphi(U)$ is locally analytic in Y, of dimension $\dim X - t$. We further have that

$$\dim \varphi(G) \leq \dim G - (t+1) < \dim X - t = \dim Y$$

by Theorem 2, V.3.2 [19], since $\dim \ell_x(\varphi \mid G) > t$ for all $x \in G$.

Finally, by Remmert's Open Mapping Theorem (Theorem 2, V.6, [19]), the restriction of φ to $X \setminus G$ is an open mapping to Y, since $t = \dim X - \dim Y$. ☐

Proposition 2.5. *Suppose that $\varphi : X \to Y$ is a morphism of irreducible nonsingular complex analytic spaces, and φ is regular. Then $\hat{\varphi}^* : \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,\varphi(p)} \to \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ is 1-1 for all $p \in X$.*

Proof. We have that for all $p \in X$, $\mathrm{rank}_p(\varphi) = \mathrm{rank}(\varphi) = \dim \varphi(X)$, by (1), so that $\hat{\varphi}_p : \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,\varphi(p)} \to \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,p}$ is 1-1 for all $p \in X$ by Lemma 4.2 [11]. ☐

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose that A is an analytic local ring and $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal in A. Then there exists a field $K \subset A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that the induced map to the residue field $K \to (A/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a finite field extension.*

Proof. We have a representation $A \cong \mathcal{O}_n/I$ for some n where I is an ideal in the ring $\mathcal{O}_n$ of germs of analytic functions at the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n$. There is a prime ideal P in $\mathcal{O}_n$ containing I, such that $P/I \cong \mathfrak{p}$. By the Proposition of III.2.5 [19], there exists a set of coordinates $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ in $\mathcal{O}_n$, so that $\mathcal{O}_n = C\{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$, and $k \leq n$ such that the induced map $\mathbb{C}\{z_1, \ldots, z_k\} \to \mathcal{O}_n/P$ is a 1-1 finite map. In particular, $\mathbb{C}\{z_1, \ldots, z_k\} \cap P = (0)$. Thus the induced map $\mathbb{C}\{z_1, \ldots, z_k\} \to \mathcal{O}_n/I \cong A$ is 1-1 and $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{C}\{z_1, \ldots, z_k\} = (0)$, so that we have an inclusion of the quotient field $K = \mathbb{C}\{\{z_1, \ldots, z_k\}\}$ into $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, such that $(A/\mathfrak{p})\mathfrak{p}$ is finite over K. ☐

A fundamental theorem in complex analytic geometry is Hironaka's theorem [15] on the existence of a resolution of singularities of a reduced complex space X (which is countable at infinity), by a sequence of blow ups of nonsingular subvarieties. The sequence is finite if X is compact. In the case of a germ (X, p), this already follows from Hironaka's Theorem $I_2^{N,n}$ [14], since $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is excellent and reduced. The general Theorem is proven in the monograph of Aroca, Hironaka and Vicente [4].

3. La Voûte Étoilée

In this section, we recall some definitions and results from [17].

Definition 3.1. *(Definition 1.4* [17]*) A morphism $\pi : Y' \to Y$ of complex analytic spaces is called strict if there exists a complex analytic subspace E' of Y' such that π is étale at all points of $Y' \setminus E'$ and (Y', E') is minimal, in the sense that if Z is a closed analytic subset of Y' such that $Y' \setminus E' = Y \setminus E$, then $Y' = Y$.*

Let Y be a complex analytic space. A local blow up of Y (page 148 [17]) is the morphism $\pi : Y' \to Y$ determined by given (U, E, π) where U is an open subset of Y, E is a Zariski closed subset of U and π is the composite of the blow up of E with the inclusion of U into Y.

A sequence of local blow ups of Y is the composite of a finite sequence of local blow ups (U_i, E_i, π_i). A sequence of local blow ups is strict ([17]).

Let Y be a complex analytic space. $\mathcal{E}(Y)$ will denote the category of morphisms $\pi : Y' \to Y$ which are sequence of local blow ups. For $\pi_1 : Y_1 \to Y \in \mathcal{E}(Y)$ and $\pi_2 : Y_2 \to Y \in \mathcal{E}(Y)$, $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1, \pi_2)$ denotes the Y-morphisms $Y_2 \to Y_1$ (morphisms which factor π_1 and π_2). $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1, \pi_2)$ has at most one element.

Definition 3.2. *(Definition 2.1* [17]*) Let Y be a complex analytic space. An étoile over Y is a subcategory e of $\mathcal{E}(Y)$ having the following properties:*

1) *If $\pi : Y' \to Y \in e$ then $Y' \neq \emptyset$.*
2) *If $\pi_i \in e$ for $i = 1, 2$, then there exists $\pi_3 \in e$ which dominates π_1 and π_2; that is, $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_3, \pi_i) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2$.*
3) *For all $\pi_1; Y_1 \to Y \in e$, there exists $\pi_2 : Y_2 \to Y \in e$ such that there exists $q \in \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_2, \pi)$, and the image $q(Y_2)$ is relatively compact in Y_1.*
4) *(maximality) If e' is a subcategory of $\mathcal{E}(Y)$ that contains e and satisfies the above conditions 1) - 3), then $e' = e$.*

$\mathcal{E}_Y$ denotes the set of all étoiles over Y.

Using property 3), Hironaka shows that for $e \in \mathcal{E}_Y$, and $\pi : Y' \to Y$, there exists a uniquely determined point $p_\pi(e) \in Y'$ which has the property that if $\alpha : Z \to Y \in e$ factors as

$$Z \overset{\beta}{\to} Y' \overset{\pi}{\to} Y,$$

then $\beta(p_\alpha(e)) = p_\pi(e)$. In particular, we have a natural map $p_Y : \mathcal{E}_Y \to Y$ defined by $p_Y(e) = p_{\mathrm{id}}(e)$. Hironaka shows (in Theorem 3.4 [17]) that $\mathcal{E}_Y$ has a natural topology so that p_Y is continuous, surjective and proper.

$\mathcal{E}_Y$ with this topology is called "La voûte étoilée.

4. BLOW UPS AND MORPHISMS ALONG AN ÉTOILE AND THE DISTINGUISHED IRREDUCIBLE COMPONENT

The join of $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \mathcal{E}(Y)$ is defined in Proposition 2.9 [17]. We will denote this join by $J(\pi_1, \pi_2)$. It is a morphism $J(\pi_1, \pi_2) : Y_J \to Y$. It has the following universal property: Suppose that $f : Z \to Y$ is a strict morphism. Then there exists a Y-morphism $Z \to Y_J$ if and only if there exist Y-morphisms $Z \to Y_1$ and $Z \to Y_2$. It follows from 2.9.2 [17] that if $\pi_1, \pi_2, \in e \subset \mathcal{E}_Y$, then $J(\pi_1, \pi_2) \in e$. We describe the construction of Proposition 2.9 [17]. In the case when π_1 and π_2 are each local blowups, which are described by the data (U_i, E_i, π_i), $J(\pi_1, \pi_2)$ is the blow up

$$J(\pi_1, \pi_2) : Y_J = B(\mathcal{I}_{E_1}\mathcal{I}_{E_2}\mathcal{O}_Y | U_1 \cap U_2) \to Y.$$

Now suppose that π_1 is a product $\alpha_0\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_r$ where $\alpha_i : Y_{i+1} \to Y_i$ are local blow ups defined by the data (U_i, E_i, α_i), and π_2 is a product $\alpha_0'\alpha_1' \cdots \alpha_r'$ where $\alpha_i' : Y_{i+1}' \to Y_i'$ are local blow ups defined by the data (U_i', E_i', α_i'). We may assume (by composing with identity maps) that the length of each sequence is a common value r. We define $J(\pi_1, \pi_2)$ by induction on r. Assume that $J_r = J(\alpha_0\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{r-1}, \alpha_0'\alpha_1' \cdots \alpha_{r-1}')$ has been constructed, with projections $\gamma : Y_{J_r} \to Y_r$ and $\delta : Y_{J_r} \to Y_r'$. Then we define $J(\pi_1, \pi_2)$ to be the blow up

$$J(\pi_1, \pi_2) : Y_J = B(\mathcal{I}_{E_r}\mathcal{I}_{E_r'}\mathcal{O}_{J_r} | \gamma^{-1}(U_r) \cap \delta^{-1}(U_r')) \to Y.$$

Suppose that $e \in \mathcal{E}_Y$ is an étoile. By Lemma 2.3 [17], there exists a point $p_\pi(e) \in Y'$ for all $\pi : Y' \to Y \in e$, such that if $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in e$ and $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1, \pi_2)$, then

$$p_{\pi_2}(e) = \varphi(p_{\pi_1}(e)). \tag{2}$$

(Condition 3) of Definition 3.2 is essential for this result.) Suppose that Y is a reduced complex analytic space, $e \in \mathcal{E}_Y$ and $\pi : Y' \to Y \in e$. Suppose that U is a neighborhood of $p_\pi(e) \in Y'$. We will define the distinguished irreducible component $\mathrm{DC}_e(U)$ of U. Let $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_s$ be the distinct irreducible components of U. Let $\pi' : U' \to U$ be a global blowup of a thin algebraic set, which separates out the irreducible components of U into distinct connected components $Z_1, \ldots, Z_s$ such that $\pi'(Z_i) \subset F_i$ for all i, and $Z_i \to Y_i$ is strict (such as a resolution of singularities of U). Then $\pi'\pi \in e$ by Corollary 2.11.4 [17]. There exists a unique component Z_i of U' such that $p_{\pi\pi'}(e) \in Z_i$. Define $\mathrm{DC}_e(U) = F_i$. This definition is well defined, since if $\pi'' : U'' \to U$ is another global blowup of a thin analytic subset of U which separates the components of U, then by 2) of Definition 3.2, there exists $\lambda : W \to Y \in e$ and maps $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}(\lambda, \pi')$, $\beta \in \mathrm{Hom}(\lambda, \pi'')$ such that $\alpha(p_\lambda(e)) = p_{\pi\pi'}(e)$ and $\beta(p_\lambda(e)) = p_{\pi\pi''}(e)$. Since π' and π'' are blow ups of thin analytic sets, there is an open subset of U' which intersects all components of U' non trivially which is isomorphic to an open subset of U'' which intersects all components of U'' non trivially. Thus the component of U'' which contains $p_{\pi''}(e)$ must map to $\mathrm{DC}_e(U)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that $Y = Y_0$ is a reduced complex analytic space, $e \in \mathcal{E}_Y$ and $\pi : Y' \to Y \in e$. Suppose that π has a factorization $\pi = \pi_0\pi_1 \cdots \pi_r$ where $\pi_i : Y_{i+1} \to Y_i$ are local blow ups determined by the data (U_i, E_i, π_i). Then $\pi_0 \cdots \pi_i \in e$, $p_{\pi_0 \cdots \pi_{i-1}}(e) \in U_i$ and $DC_e(U_i) \not\subset E_i$ for all i.*

Proof. We will first show that $\pi_0 \cdots \pi_i \in e$ for all i. We will use the criterion of Lemma 2.10 on page 431 of [17]. Suppose that $\varphi_\alpha : Z_\alpha \to Y \in e$. We must show that there exists $\varphi_\beta : Z_\beta \to Y \in e$ such that $\mathrm{Hom}(\varphi_\beta, \varphi_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$, and if $J(\varphi_\beta, \pi_0 \cdots \pi_i) : Z_J \to Y$ is the join, then the natural image of Z_J in Y_{i+1} is relatively compact and non empty.

By 2) and 3) of Definition 3.2, there exists $\varphi_\beta : Z_\beta \to Y \in e$ such that $\mathrm{Hom}(Z_\beta, Z_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$, $\mathrm{Hom}(Z_\beta, Y') \neq \emptyset$ and if $q : Z_\beta \to Y'$ is the induced map, then $q(Z_\beta)$ is relatively compact in Y'. Let $J(\varphi_\beta, \pi_0 \cdots \pi_i) : Z_J \to Y$ be the join.

Then $Z_J = Z_\beta$ since π_β factors through $\pi_0 \cdots \pi_i$. Since the image of Z_β is relatively compact in Y', the image of Z_β in Y_{i+1} is also relatively compact. The fact that $p_{\pi_0 \cdots \pi_i}(e) \in U_{i+1}$ for all i now follows from (2).

Let $h = \pi_0 \cdots \pi_{i-1}$. Let $\lambda : Z \to U_i$ be a global blow up which separates the irreducible components of U_i. Then $h\lambda \in e$. Since $h\pi_i \in e$, there exists (by 2) of Definition 3.2) $\tau : W \to Y \in e$ with factorizations

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & W \arrow[dl, "\alpha"'] \arrow[dr, "\beta"] & \\
Y_{i+1} \arrow[dr, "\pi_i"'] & & Z \arrow[dl, "\lambda"] \\
 & U_i \arrow[d, "h"] & \\
 & Y &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let H be the irreducible component of W which contains $p_\tau(e)$. Then $\lambda\beta(H)$ must be dense in $DC_e(U_i)$. Thus Y_{i+1} contains an irreducible component G such that $\pi_i(G)$ is dense in $\mathrm{DC}_e(U_i)$, so that $\mathrm{DC}_e(U_i) \not\subset E_i$. □

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that Y is a reduced complex analytic space, $e \in \mathcal{E}_Y$, $\pi_0 : Y_0 \to Y \in e$, and (U, E, h) is a local blow up of Y_0. Then $\pi_0 h \in e$ if and only if $p_{\pi_0}(e) \in U$ and $DC_e(U) \not\subset E$.*

Proof. The conditions $p_{\pi_0}(e) \in U$ and $\mathrm{DC}_e(U) \not\subset E$ are certainly necessary for $\pi_0 h$ to be in e (by Lemma 4.1).

Suppose that $p_{\pi_0}(e) \in U$ and $\mathrm{DC}_e(U) \not\subset E$. We will verify the criterion of Lemma 2.10 on page 431 of [17]. Suppose that $\varphi_\alpha : Y_\alpha \to Y \in e$. Let our map h be $h : Y' \to Y_0$. We must show that there exists $\pi_\beta : Y_\beta \to Y \in e$ such that $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_\beta, \pi_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$, and if

$J(\pi_\beta,\pi_0h):Y_J\rightarrow Y$ is the join, then the natural image of Y_J in Y' is relatively compact and non empty.

We have that $U\rightarrow Y$ is in e (by Corollary 2.11.4 [17]), so we can replace Y_0 with U, and assume that $U=Y_0$, and E is closed in Y_0 with $\mathrm{DC}_e(Y_0)\not\subset E$. By 2) and 3) of Definition 3.2, there exists $\pi_\beta:Y_\beta\rightarrow Y\in e$ and maps $\lambda\in\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_\beta,\pi_0)$, $\tau\in\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_\beta,\pi_\alpha)$ such that $\lambda(Y_\beta)$ is relatively compact in Y_0. By the universal property, we have that the joins $J(\pi_\beta,\pi_0h)$ and $J(\lambda,h)$ have a common domain, which we will denote by Y_β'. We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & Y_\beta' \arrow[dl, "\gamma"'] \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, "\delta"] & \\
Y_\beta \arrow[r, "\lambda"] \arrow[d, "\tau"'] \arrow[dr, "\pi_\beta"] & Y_0 \arrow[d, "\pi_0"] & Y' \arrow[l, "h"'] \arrow[dl, "h\pi_0"] \\
Y_\alpha \arrow[r, "\pi_\alpha"] & Y &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let K be the closure of $\lambda(Y_\beta)$ in Y_0, which is compact. $\delta(Y_\beta')\subset h^{-1}(\lambda(K))$, which is compact since h is a global blow up, so it is proper. Thus $\delta(Y_\beta')$ is relatively compact.

It remains to show that $Y_\beta'\neq\emptyset$. We have that $Y_\beta\neq\emptyset$ (since $\pi_\beta\in e$). π_β is strict, by Proposition 1.7 [17], so it is an open immersion on an open subset W of Y_β which intersects $\mathrm{DC}_e(Y_\beta)$ nontrivially. λ is thus necessarily also an open immersion on W. Thus $V=\lambda(W)$ is an open subset of Y_0 such that $\mathrm{DC}_e(Y_0)\cap V\neq\emptyset$. By our assumption on E, we have that $E\cap\mathrm{DC}_e(Y_0)\cap V$ is thin in $\mathrm{DC}_e(Y_0)\cap V$. Let $F_1,\ldots,F_r$ be the irreducible components of Y_0, with $F_1=\mathrm{DC}_e(Y_0)$. h is an isomorphism over the non trivial open set $V\setminus(E\cup F_2\cup\cdots\cup F_r)$. Let $Z=Y_\beta|\lambda^{-1}(V\setminus(E\cup F_2\cup\cdots\cup F_r))$. Let $\varepsilon:Z\rightarrow Y'$ be the morphism induced by λ and $i:Z\rightarrow Y_\beta$ be the inclusion. Now $Z\neq\emptyset$, and since $\lambda i=h\varepsilon$, we have that $\mathrm{Hom}(\varepsilon,\delta)\neq\emptyset$ be the universal property of the join. Thus $Y_\beta'\neq\emptyset$. ☐

Using resolution of singularities, and resolution of indeterminancy ([14], [15], [4]) we deduce the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that Y is a reduced complex analytic space and $e\in\mathcal{E}_Y$. Suppose that $\pi\in e$ factors as a sequence of local blow ups*

$$Y_n\rightarrow Y_{n-1}\rightarrow\cdots\rightarrow Y_1\rightarrow Y$$

where each $\pi_i:Y_{i+1}\rightarrow Y_i$ is a local blow up (U_i,E_i,π_i). Then there exists $\pi'\in e$ which is a composition of local blow ups

$$Y_n'\rightarrow Y_{n-1}'\rightarrow\cdots\rightarrow Y_1'\rightarrow Y$$

such that each Y_i' is nonsingular, $\pi_i':Y_{i+1}'\rightarrow Y_i'$ is a local blow up (U_i',E_i',π_i'), and there exists a commutative diagram of strict morphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Y_n' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y_{n-1}' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \cdots \arrow[r] & Y_1' \arrow[d] \arrow[dr] & \\
Y_n \arrow[r] & Y_{n-1} \arrow[r] & \cdots \arrow[r] & Y_1 \arrow[r] & Y.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Suppose that $\varphi:X\rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of complex analytic spaces, and $\pi:Y'\rightarrow Y\in\mathcal{E}(Y)$. $\varphi^{-1}[\pi]:\varphi^{-1}[Y']\rightarrow X$ will denote the strict transform of φ by π (Section 2 of [18]).

In the case of a single local blowup (U,E,π) of Y, $\varphi^{-1}[Y']$ is the blow up $B(\mathcal{I}_E\mathcal{O}_X|\varphi^{-1}(U))$. In the case when $\pi=\pi_0\pi_1\cdots\pi_r$ with $\pi_i:Y_{i+1}\rightarrow Y_i$ given by local blow ups (U_i,E_i,π_i), we inductively define $\varphi^{-1}[\pi]$. Assume that $\pi^{-1}[\pi_0\cdots\pi_{r-1}]$ has been constructed. Let $h=\pi_0\cdots\pi_{r-1}$, so that $\pi=h\pi_r$. Let $\varphi':\varphi^{-1}[Y_r]\rightarrow Y_r$ be the natural morphism. Then define $\varphi^{-1}[Y_{r+1}]$ to be the blow up $B(\mathcal{I}_{E_r}\mathcal{O}_{\varphi^{-1}[Y_r]}|(\varphi')^{-1}(U_r))$.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in \mathcal{E}(Y)$. Then*

$$J(\varphi^{-1}[\pi_1], \varphi^{-1}[\pi_2]) = \varphi^{-1}[J(\pi_1, \pi_2)].$$

Proof. The fact that these two constructions are canonically isomorphic can be realized by comparing the explicits constructions given above. The essential case is that of the strict transform of the join of two local blow ups $\pi_1 : Y_1 \to Y$ and $\pi_2 : Y_2 \to Y$ given by local data (U_1, E_1, π_1) and (U_2, E_2, π_2). The join $J(\pi_1, \pi_2)$ is then the blow up

$$J(\pi_1, \pi_2) : B(\mathcal{I}_{E_1}\mathcal{I}_{E_2}|U_1 \cap U_2) \to Y,$$

and $\varphi^{-1}[J(\pi_1, \pi_2)]$ is the blow up

$$\varphi^{-1}[J(\pi_1, \pi_2)]B(\mathcal{I}_{E_1}\mathcal{I}_{E_2}\mathcal{O}_X|\varphi^{-1}(U_1 \cap U_2)) \to X. \tag{3}$$

However, $\varphi_i^{-1}[\pi_i]$ are the blow ups $\varphi_i^{-1}[\pi_i] : B(\mathcal{I}_{E_i}\mathcal{O}_X\varphi^{-1}(U_i)) \to X$ Thus the construction of $J(\varphi^{-1}[\pi_1], \varphi^{-1}[\pi_2])$ described at the beginning of this section gives us again the blow up (3). ☐

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that $\varphi : X \to Y$ is a morphism of complex analytic spaces and $e \in \mathcal{E}_X$. Let*

$$S(\varphi, e) = \{\pi \in \mathcal{E}(Y) \mid \varphi^{-1}[\pi] \in e\}.$$

Then $S(\varphi, e)$ satisfies properties 1), 2) and 3) of Definition 3.2.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4.4 and 2.9.2 of [17]. ☐

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose that $\varphi : X \to Y$ is a morphism of reduced complex analytic spaces and $e \in \mathcal{E}_X$. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{E}_Y$ contains $S(\varphi, e)$, and $\pi : Y' \to Y \in S(\varphi, e)$. Then*

$$p_\pi(f) = \varphi'(p_{\varphi^{-1}[\pi]}(e))$$

and

$$\varphi'(DC_e(\varphi^{-1}[Y'])) \subset DC_f(Y'),$$

where $\varphi' : \varphi^{-1}[Y'] \to Y'$ is the induced morphism.

Proof. Suppose that U is any neighborhood of $\varphi'(p_{\varphi^{-1}[\pi]}(e))$ in Y'. Then $\pi|U \in S(\varphi, e)$ (by Lemma 4.2). Thus

$$p_\pi(f) = \varphi'(p_{\varphi^{-1}[\pi]}(e)).$$

Suppose that $V = \varphi'(\mathrm{DC}_e(\varphi^{-1}[Y'])) \not\subset \mathrm{DC}_f(Y')$. Then the Zariski closure of V in Y' is not contained in $\mathrm{DC}_f(Y')$, since $\mathrm{DC}_f(Y')$ is an irreducible component of Y'. There exists a closed analytic subspace E of Y', which is a proper subset of $\mathrm{DC}_f(Y')$, such that if $\alpha : Z \to Y'$ is the blow up of E, then $\pi\alpha \in f$ (by Lemma 4.2), $\mathrm{DC}_f(Z)$ is a connected component of Z, and the strict transform W in Z of V is disjoint from $\mathrm{DC}_f(Z)$.

$\varphi^{-1}[Z]$ is the blow up of $\varphi^{-1}[Y']$ at $F = (\varphi')^{-1}(E)$. F does not contain $\mathrm{DC}_e(\varphi^{-1}[Y'])$. Thus $\varphi^{-1}[\pi\alpha] : \varphi^{-1}[Z] \to X \in e$ by Lemma 4.2. Now $\mathrm{DC}_e(\varphi^{-1}[Z])$ is the strict transform of $\mathrm{DC}_e(\varphi^{-1}[Y'])$. Thus $p_{\pi\alpha}(f) = \varphi''(p_{\varphi^{-1}[\pi\alpha]}(e)) \in W$, where $\varphi'' : \varphi^{-1}[Z][\to Z$ is the natural map, which is impossible since W is disjoint from $\mathrm{DC}_f(Z)$. Thus

$$\varphi'(\mathrm{DC}_e(\varphi^{-1}[Y'])) \subset \mathrm{DC}_f(Y').$$

☐

Proposition 4.7. *Suppose that $\varphi : X \to Y$ is a morphism of reduced complex analytic spaces. Then $S(\varphi, e) \in \mathcal{E}_Y$ if and only if for all $\pi : Y' \to Y \in S(\varphi, e)$, with associated morphism $\varphi' : \varphi^{-1}[Y'] \to Y'$, $\varphi'(DC_e(\varphi^{-1}[Y']))$ is not contained in a proper analytic subset of an irreducible component of Y'.*

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{E}_Y$ contains $S(\varphi, e)$ and there exists $\pi : Y' \to Y \in S(\varphi, e)$ such that $\varphi'(\mathrm{DC}_e(\varphi^{-1}[Y']))$ is contained in a proper analytic subset E of an irreducible component of Y'. Let $\alpha : Z \to Y'$ be the blow up of E. Then $\pi\alpha \in f$ by Lemma 4.2. We have a commutative diagram of morphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\varphi^{-1}[Z] \arrow[r, "\varphi''"] \arrow[d, "\varphi^{-1}[\alpha]"'] & Z \arrow[d, "\alpha"] \\
\varphi^{-1}[Y'] \arrow[r, "\varphi'"] & Y'.
\end{tikzcd}$$

$\mathrm{DC}_e(\varphi^{-1}[Y'])$ is a subspace of $(\varphi')^{-1}(E)$ and $\varphi^{-1}[\alpha] : \varphi^{-1}[Z] \to \varphi^{-1}[Y']$ is the blow up of $(\varphi')^{-1}(E)$. Thus $\varphi^{-1}[\pi\alpha] = \varphi^{-1}[\pi]\varphi^{-1}[\alpha] \not\in e$ by Lemma 4.2.

Now suppose that for all $\pi : Y' \to Y \in S(\varphi, e)$, with associated morphism $\varphi' : \varphi^{-1}[Y'] \to Y'$, $\varphi'(\mathrm{DC}_e(\varphi^{-1}[Y']))$ is not contained in a proper analytic subset of an irreducible component of Y'. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{E}_Y$ contains $S(\varphi, e)$. Suppose that $\pi \in f$. We will show that $\pi \in S(\varphi, e)$.

We prove this by induction on the length r of a factorization $\pi = h_0 h_1 \cdots h_{r-1} h_r$ where (U_i, E_i, h_i) are local blow ups $h_i : Y_{i+1} \to Y_i$. By Lemma 4.1, $h_0 \cdots h_{r-1} \in f$, $p_{h_0 \cdots h_{r-1}}(f) \in U_r$ and $\mathrm{DC}_f(U_r) \not\subset E_r$.

We have a commutative diagram of morphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\varphi^{-1}[Y'] \arrow[r, "\varphi'"] \arrow[d, "\varphi_{r-1}^{-1}[h_r]"'] & Y' \arrow[d, "h_r"] \\
\varphi^{-1}[Y_r] \arrow[r, "\varphi_{r-1}"] \arrow[d, "\varphi^{-1}[h_0 \cdots h_{r-1}]"'] & Y_r \arrow[d, "h_0 \cdots h_{r-1}"] \\
X \arrow[r, "\varphi"] & Y.
\end{tikzcd}$$

By our induction assumption, $h_0 \cdots h_{r-1} \in S(\varphi, e)$, so that $\varphi^{-1}[h_0 \cdots h_{r-1}] \in e$. $p_{h_0 \cdots h_{r-1}}(f) \in U_r$ by Lemma 4.1. Thus $\alpha = h_0 \cdots h_{r-1} | U_r \in f$ by Lemma 4.2. $\varphi^{-1}[\alpha] : \varphi^{-1}[U_r] \to X$ is in e by Lemma 4.6, since $p_{\varphi^{-1}[h_0 \cdots h_{r-1}]}(e) \in \varphi_{r-1}^{-1}(p_{h_0 \cdots h_{r-1}}(f))$. Thus $\alpha \in S(\varphi, e)$, so that $\varphi_{r-1}((\mathrm{DC}_e(\varphi_{r-1})^{-1}(U_r))$ is not contained in a proper analytic subset of an irreducible component of U_r, by assumption. Since $\varphi_{r-1}(\mathrm{DC}_e(\varphi_{r-1})^{-1}(U_r)) \subset \mathrm{DC}_f(U_r)$ by Lemma 4.6, and $\mathrm{DC}_f(U_r) \not\subset E_r$ (by Lemma 4.1), we have that $\varphi_{r-1}(\mathrm{DC}_e(\varphi_{r-1}^{-1}(U_r)) \not\subset E_r$, so $\mathrm{DC}_e(\varphi_{r-1}^{-1}(U_r)) \not\subset \varphi_{r-1}^{-1}(E_r \cap U_r)$. Thus $\varphi^{-1}[\pi] = \varphi^{-1}[h_0 \cdots h_{r-1}]\varphi_{r-1}^{-1}[h_r] \in e$ by Lemma 4.2, so that $\pi \in S(\varphi, e)$. ☐

Proposition 4.8. *Suppose that $\varphi : X \to Y$ is a morphism of reduced, irreducible, locally irreducible complex analytic spaces, and $e \in \mathcal{E}_X$. Suppose that φ is regular. Then $S(\varphi, e) \in \mathcal{E}_Y$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 4.7, and since a local blow up is strict. ☐

Theorem 4.9. *Suppose that $\varphi : X \to Y$ is a morphism of reduced complex analytic spaces, and $e \in \mathcal{E}_X$. Then there exists $\pi : Y' \to Y \in S(\varphi, e)$ (so that $\varphi^{-1}[\pi] \in e$) such that either $\varphi' : \varphi^{-1}[Y'] \to Y'$ is flat at $p_{\varphi^{-1}[\pi]}(e)$ or $\varphi'(DC_e(\varphi^{-1}[Y']))$ is contained in a proper analytic subset of an irreducible component of Y'.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{E}_Y$ be such that $S(\varphi, e) \subset f$. By Theorem 3 [18] or [16], there exists $\pi \in f$ such that $\varphi' : \varphi^{-1}[Y'] \to Y'$ is flat at points of $(\varphi')^{-1}(p_\pi(f)) \cap (\varphi^{-1}[\pi])^{-1}(p_{\mathrm{id}}(e))$.

If $\pi \in S(\varphi, e)$, then $\varphi^{-1}[\pi] \in e$, so that $p_{\varphi^{-1}[\pi]}(e) \in (\varphi')^{-1}(p_\pi(f)) \cap (\varphi^{-1}[\pi])^{-1}(p_{\mathrm{id}}(e))$ by Lemma 4.6, so that φ' is flat at $p_{\varphi^{-1}[\pi]}(e)$.

Now suppose that $\pi \notin S(\varphi, e)$. We can factor $\pi = h_0 h_1 \cdots h_r$ where (U_i, E_i, h_i) are local blow ups $h_i : Y_{i+1} \to Y_i$. By Lemma 4.1, $h_0 \cdots h_s \in f$, $p_{h_0 \cdots h_s}(f) \in U_r$ and $\mathrm{DC}_f(U_s) \not\subset E_s$ for all s. There exists a largest s such that $h_0 \cdots h_{s-1} \in S(\varphi, e)$, but $h_0 \cdots h_s \notin S(\varphi, e)$. $U_s \subset Y_s$ contains $p_{h_0 \cdots h_{s-1}}(f)$, so that $U_s \to Y \in S(\varphi, e)$ by Lemma 4.2.

Let $\lambda = (h_0 \cdots h_{s-1}) | U_s$, and $\varphi'' : \varphi^{-1}[U_s] \to U_s$ be the induced morphism. Then $\varphi''(\mathrm{DC}_e(\varphi^{-1}[U_s]) \subset \mathrm{DC}_f(U_s)$ by Lemma 4.6. Since $\lambda h_s \notin S(\varphi, e)$, we have that $\varphi''(\mathrm{DC}_e(\varphi^{-1}[U_s]) \subset E_s \cap \mathrm{DC}_f(U_s)$, which is a proper analytic subset of the irreducible component $\mathrm{DC}_f(U_s)$ of U_s. Now replacing π with λ, we have obtained the conclusions of the theorem. □

Corollary 4.10. *Suppose that $\varphi : X \to Y$ is a morphism of reduced complex analytic spaces, and $e \in \mathcal{E}_X$. Then there exists a commutative diagram of morphisms*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{X} \arrow[r, "\tilde{\varphi}"] \arrow[d, "\gamma"'] & \tilde{Y} \arrow[d, "\delta"] \\
X \arrow[r, "\varphi"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that $\gamma \in e$, δ is sequence of morphisms consisting of local blow ups and inclusions of proper analytic subsets, $\tilde{X}$ is reduced, $\tilde{Y}$ is reduced, and $\tilde{\varphi}$ is flat at $p_\gamma(e)$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on the dimension of Y. If $\dim Y = 0$, then Y is a finite union of points, so φ is necessarily flat, since $\mathcal{O}_{Y,q}$ is a field for all $q \in Y$. Suppose that the Corollary is true for all reduced complex analytic spaces of dimension less than $\dim Y$.

By Theorem 4.9, there exists $\pi : Y' \to Y \in S(\alpha, e)$ such that either

(4) the induced morphism $\varphi' : \varphi^{-1}[Y'] \to Y'$ is flat at $p_{\varphi^{-1}[\pi]}(e)$,

or

(5)

$\varphi'(\mathrm{DC}_e(\varphi^{-1}[Y']))$ is contained in a proper analytic subset of an irreducible component of Y'.

If (4) holds then we have achieved the conclusions of the Corollary. Suppose that (5) holds. There exists an irreducible analytic subset F of Y' such that $\varphi'(\mathrm{DC}_e(\pi^{-1}[Y'])) \subset F$ and F is not an irreducible component of Y' (so that $\dim F < \dim Y$).

Let $\tau : X'' \to \varphi^{-1}[Y']$ be a resolution of singularities, obtained by blowing up a thin analytic subset of $\varphi^{-1}[Y']$. Then $\tau \in e$. Then $X^* = \mathrm{DC}_e(X'')$ is a connected component of X'', so the composition of inclusion of X^* into X'' and the morphism $\varphi^{-1}[\pi]\tau$ is in e. We have an induced morphism of X^* to F. By induction on the dimension of Y, the conclusions of the Corollary hold. □

Proposition 4.11. *Suppose that $\varphi : X \to Y$ is a morphism of reduced, irreducible, locally irreducible complex analytic spaces and φ is regular. Further suppose that $\alpha : X' \to X$, $\beta : Y' \to Y$ are sequences of local blow ups of thin analytic subsets such that X' and Y' are reduced, irreducible, locally irreducible, and there is a commutative diagram of morphisms*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X' \arrow[r, "\varphi'"] \arrow[d, "\alpha"'] & Y' \arrow[d, "\beta"] \\
X \arrow[r, "\varphi"] & Y.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then φ' is regular.

Proof. There exists an analytic subset F of Y' such that $\dim F < \dim Y == \dim Y$, $\dim \beta(F) < \dim Y$, $Y' \backslash F = Y' \backslash \beta^{-1}(\beta(F))$, and $\beta | (Y' \backslash F) : Y' \backslash F \to Y$ is an isomorphism onto an open subset of Y.

There exists an analytic subset H of X' such that $\dim H < \dim X' = \dim X$, $\dim \alpha(H) < \dim X$, $V = X' \setminus H = X' \setminus \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(H))$ is an open subset of X' and $\alpha|V : V \to X$ is an isomorphism onto an open subset of X.

Since φ is regular, by Lemma 2.4, there exists a thin analytic subset G of X such that $\varphi(X \setminus G)$ is an open subset of Y, $\dim \varphi(G) < \dim Y$, and $\varphi|(X \setminus G)$ is an open mapping.

$\dim G \leq \dim X - 1$ implies $W := (X \setminus G) \cap \alpha(V)$ is a nonempty open subset of X. $\varphi(W)$ is an open subset of Y. $\varphi(W) \subset \beta(Y') = \beta(Y' \setminus F) \cup \beta(F)$. Since $\dim \beta(F) < \dim Y$, we have that $\varphi(W) \cap \beta(Y' \setminus F)$ is a nonempty open subset of Y. Since α is an isomorphism over W and β is an isomorphism over $\beta(Y' \setminus F)$, we have that $\varphi'(V)$ contains the nonempty open set $\beta^{-1}(\varphi(W) \cap \beta(Y' \setminus F))$. Thus φ' is regular. $\square$

Theorem 4.12. *Suppose that $\varphi : X \to Y$ is a morphism of reduced complex analytic spaces, and $e \in \mathcal{E}_X$. Then there exists a commutative diagram of morphisms*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{X} \arrow[r, "\tilde{\varphi}"] \arrow[d, "\gamma"'] & \tilde{Y} \arrow[d, "\delta"] \\
X \arrow[r, "\varphi"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that $\gamma \in e$, δ is sequence of morphisms consisting of local blow ups and inclusions of proper analytic subsets, $\tilde{X}$ is nonsingular and irreducible, $\tilde{Y}$ is nonsingular and irreducible and $\tilde{\varphi}$ is regular.

Proof. By Corollary 4.10, we may assume that φ is flat. Let $p = p_{\text{id}}(e)$.

There exists an open subset V of Y which contains $\varphi(p)$, such that all irreducible components of V are locally irreducible.

There exists an open subset U of $\varphi^{-1}(V)$ containing p such that $\mathrm{DC}_e(U)$ is locally irreducible. Let G be the analytic Zariski closure of $U \setminus \mathrm{DC}_e(U)$ in U. Let $W = \mathrm{DC}_e(U) \setminus G$. W is a nonempty open subset of X, so $\varphi(W)$ is an open subset of V, since φ is flat [10]. Let V^* be the irreducible component of V containing $\varphi(\mathrm{DC}_e(U)$. By definition, the induced map $\varphi : \mathrm{DC}_e(U) \to V^*$ is regular at p.

Let $\tau : V' \to V$ be a resolution of singularities. τ is the blow up of a thin analytic set E, and $H = \varphi^{-1}(E)$ is thin in X since φ is flat. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal sheaf of H in X.

Let $\pi : X' \to U$ be a resolution of singularities, obtained by a sequence of global blow ups of thin analytic sets, so that $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ is invertible. The composition of π with the inclusion of U into X is in e. Since $\mathrm{DC}_e(X')$ is a connected component of X', the induced morphism $\mathrm{DC}_e(X') \to X$ is is e.

$\mathrm{DC}_e(X')$ is necessarily the strict transform of $\mathrm{DC}_e(U)$ in X'. Thus $\mathrm{DC}_e(X') \to \mathrm{DC}_e(U)$ is a product of blow ups. Thus the induced morphism $\tilde{X} = \mathrm{DC}_e(X') \to \tilde{Y} = (V')^*$ is regular by Proposition 4.11, where $(V')^*$ is the connected component of V' which contains the image of $\mathrm{DC}_e(X')$. $\square$

5. THE VALUATION ASSOCIATED TO AN ÉTOILE

Suppose that Y is a reduced complex analytic space, $e \in \mathcal{E}_Y$ and $\pi \in e$. We will call π nonsingular if π is a composition of local blow ups

$$Y_{n-1} \to Y_{n-1} \to \cdots \to Y_1 \to Y$$

such that each Y_i is nonsingular.

We associate to a nonsingular $\pi \in e$ the local ring $A_\pi = \mathcal{O}_{X, p_\pi(e)}$. The set

$$\{A_\pi \mid \pi \in e \text{ is nonsingular}\}$$

is then a directed set, by Lemma 4.3 and Definition 3.2. The set of quotient fields K_π of the A_π also form a directed set. Let

$$\Omega_e = \lim_{\rightarrow} K_\pi \text{ and } V_e = \lim_{\rightarrow} A_\pi.$$

Ω_e is a field, and V_e is a local ring with quotient field Ω_e.

Lemma 5.1. *V_e is a valuation ring.*

Proof. Suppose that $f \in K_e$. Then there exists $\pi \in e$ such that $f \in K_\pi$. $f = \frac{g}{h}$ with $g, h \in A_\pi$, where A_π is the local ring associated to $\pi : X_\pi \to Y$. Let $U \subset X_\pi$ be an open neighborhood of $p_\pi(e)$ on which g and h are holomorphic. There exists an ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_U$ such that the blow up $X' = B(\mathcal{I})$ of $\mathcal{I}$ is nonsingular, and $(g, h)\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ is locally principal. Let $\lambda : X' \to X_\pi$ be the induced local blow up. $\pi\lambda \in e$ by Lemma 4.2. We have that either $g \mid h$ or $h \mid g$ in $A_{\pi\lambda}$. Thus f or $\frac{1}{f} \in A_{\pi\lambda} \subset V_e$. $\square$

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose that X and Y are nonsingular complex analytic spaces, $\varphi : X \to Y$ is a regular morphism, and $e \in \mathcal{E}_X$. Then $f = S(\varphi, e) \in \mathcal{E}_Y$, $\Omega_f \subset \Omega_e$ and $V_f = V_e \cap \Omega_f$.*

Proof. $f \in \mathcal{E}_Y$ by Proposition 4.8.

Suppose that $\pi : Y' \to Y \in f$ is nonsingular. By Lemma 4.3, there exists a nonsingular $\alpha : Z \to X \in e$ such that $\mathrm{Hom}(Z, \varphi^{-1}[Y']) \neq \emptyset$. We have associated local homomorphisms

$$\mathcal{O}_{Y', p_\pi(f)} \overset{(\varphi')^*}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{O}_{\varphi^{-1}[Y'], p_{\varphi^{-1}[\pi]}(e)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z, p_\alpha(e)} \tag{6}$$

where $\varphi' : \varphi^{-1}[Y'] \to Y'$ is the natural morphism. By Proposition 4.11, the homomorphism of the sequence of complete local rings

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y', p_\pi(f)} \overset{\widehat{(\varphi')^*}}{\rightarrow} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\varphi^{-1}[Y'], p_{\varphi^{-1}[\pi]}(e)} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Z, p_\alpha(e)} \tag{7}$$

is 1-1. Thus the homomorphism in (6) is 1-1. We have an associated inclusion of rings $A_\pi \to A_\alpha$ with induced inclusion of quotient fields $K_\pi \to K_\alpha$. This gives us 1-1 homomorphisms $A_\pi \to V_e$ and $K_\pi \to \Omega_e$.

Taking the limit over the nonsingular elements of f, we have natural 1-1 homomorphisms $V_f \to V_e$ and $\Omega_f \to \Omega_e$.

Suppose that $h \in \Omega_f \cap V_e$. Then there exist nonsingular $\alpha : Y_1 \to Y \in f$ and $\beta : X_1 \to X \in e$ such that $h \in A_\beta \cap K_\alpha$. h has an expression $h = \frac{a}{b}$ with $a, b \in A_\alpha$. Let U be a neighborhood of $p_\alpha(f)$ on which a and b are analytic. There exists $\gamma : Y_2 \to Y$ such that Y_2 is the blow up of an ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_U$, Y_2 is nonsingular and $(f, g)\mathcal{O}_{Y_2}$ is locally principal. Thus $\gamma \in f$ is nonsingular, and either h or $\frac{1}{h} \in A_\gamma$. There exists $\delta : X_2 \to X$ in e which is nonsingular, such that $\mathrm{Hom}(X_2, \varphi^{-1}[Y_2]) \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(X_2, X_1) \neq \emptyset$. We have constructed a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & & & X_2 \arrow[dl] \arrow[dd, "\delta"'] \arrow[dr] & \\
Y_1 \arrow[dr, "\alpha"'] & & Y_2 \arrow[ll] \arrow[dl, "\gamma"] & \varphi^{-1}[Y_2] \arrow[l] \arrow[dr] & & X_1 \arrow[dl, "\beta"] \\
 & Y & & & X &
\end{tikzcd}$$

If $h \in A_\gamma$ then $h \in V_f$. Suppose that $h \notin A_\gamma$. Then $\frac{1}{h} \in \mathfrak{m}$ where $\mathfrak{m}$ is the maximal ideal of A_γ. Now $A_\gamma \to A_\delta$ is a local homomorphism, so $\frac{1}{h}$ is in the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}$ of A_δ. But this is impossible since $h \in A_\delta$. Thus we must have $h \in A_\gamma \subset V_e$. $\square$

Suppose that Y is a reduced complex space and $e \in \mathcal{E}_Y$. Let V_e be the valuation ring associated to e. We have a directed system $\{A_\pi\}$ for $\pi : Y' \to Y \in e$, where we define $A_\pi = \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{DC}_e(Y'),p_\pi(e)}$. In the case when π is nonsingular, $Y' = \mathrm{DC}_e(Y')$, so this agrees with our earlier definition. Taking the limit over this larger directed system again gives us the same limit V_e, by Lemma 4.3.

For $\pi : Y_0 \to Y \in e$, let $V_\pi = V_e \cap K_\pi$, which is a valuation ring of K_π, which dominates A_π. Let $\mathfrak{m}_\pi$ be the maximal ideal of A_π. Let ν_e be a valuation of Ω_e whose valuation ring is V_e, and let ν_π be the restriction of ν to K_π, so that V_π is the valuation ring of ν_π.

Lemma 5.3. *V_e has finite rational rank, which is less than or equal to* $\dim Y$.

Proof. Suppose that V_e has rational rank larger than $n = \dim Y$. Choose $t_1, \ldots, t_{n+1} \in V_e$ such that their values are rationally independent. There exists a nonsingular $\pi : Y' \to Y \in e$ such that $t_1, \ldots, t_{n+1} \in V_\pi$. Thus ν_π has rational rank $> \dim Y$. But ν_π dominates the noetherian local domain $\mathcal{O}_{Y',p_\pi(e)}$, which has dimension $\leq \dim Y$. This is a contradiction to Abhyankar's inequality [1], Appendix 2 of [22]. ☐

Thus the rank r of V_e is finite (by Lemma 5.3), with

$$r = \mathrm{rank}(V_e) \leq \mathrm{ratrank}(V_e) \leq \dim Y.$$

6. Weak local monomialization when $\dim \varphi(X) = \dim X$

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose that $\varphi : X \to Y$ is a germ of a morphism of reduced, irreducible, locally irreducible complex analytic spaces, such that* $\dim \varphi(X) = \dim X$. *Suppose that $e \in \mathcal{E}_X$ is an étoile. Then there exists a sequence of local blow ups $\alpha : X_1 \to X$ and $\beta : Y_1 \to Y$ such that $\alpha \in e$, making a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_1 \arrow[r, "\varphi_1"] \arrow[d, "\alpha"'] & Y_1 \arrow[d, "\beta"] \\
X \arrow[r, "\varphi"] & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that X_1 and Y_1 are nonsingular, and φ_1 is a monomial mapping at the center $p_1 = p_\alpha(e)$ of e on X_1; that is, there exist local coordinates $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ in Y_1 at $\varphi_1(p_1)$ and local coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ in X_1 at p_1, and an $m \times m$ matrix (a_{ij}) of nonnegative integers with $Det(a_{ij}) \neq 0$, such that under the map $\varphi_1^* : \mathcal{O}_{Y_1,\varphi_1(p)} \to \mathcal{O}_{X_1,p}$, we have that

$$\varphi_1^*(y_i) = \begin{cases} \prod_{j=1} x_j^{a_{ij}} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq m \\ 0 & \text{if } i > m \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.10, there exists a commutative diagram of morphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{X} \arrow[r, "\tilde{\varphi}"] \arrow[d, "\gamma"'] & \tilde{Y} \arrow[r, phantom, "\subset"] & Y^* \arrow[d, "\delta"] \\
X \arrow[r, "\varphi"] & & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that $\gamma \in e$, δ is a sequence of morphisms consisting of local blow ups, $\tilde{Y}$ is an analytic subset of Y^*, with the properties that $\tilde{X}$, $\tilde{Y}$ and Y^* are reduced and equidimensional, and $\tilde{\varphi}$: is flat at $p_\gamma(e)$.

$\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{X} \to \tilde{Y}$ is an open mapping in a neighborhood U of $p := p_\gamma(e)$ (by [10]). Let $q = \tilde{\varphi}(p)$. Since $\tilde{\varphi}$ is open, we have that $\dim \tilde{\varphi}(U) = \dim \tilde{Y}$. We have that

$$\dim U \geq \dim \tilde{\varphi}(U) \geq \dim \delta\tilde{\varphi}(U) = \dim \varphi(X) = \dim(X) = \dim(U),$$

so that $\dim U = \dim \tilde{\varphi}(U)$.

By Remmert's open mapping theorem (Theorem 2 of V.6 [19]) or in fact by Lemma 4 of V.6 [19], we have that $\dim \ell_a \tilde{\varphi} = \dim U - \dim \tilde{Y} = 0$ for each $a \in U$. Thus $\tilde{\varphi} : U \to \tilde{Y}$ is "light" at p, and by the discussion on page 300 of V.7.2 [19], we have that the extension $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y},q} \to \mathcal{O}_{U,p}$ is finite.

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{U,p}$ of the germ $\mathrm{DC}_e(U)$ at p and let $\mathfrak{q}$ be the prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{Y^*,q}$ of the germ of the Zariski closed set $\tilde{\varphi}(\mathrm{DC}_e(U))$ (this set is Zariski closed by Remmert's Rank Theorem, Theorem 1, V.6 [19]).

Let ν_e be a valuation associated to e, which has the valuation ring V_e of Ω_e constructed in the previous section. Let

$$S = \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{DC}_e(U),p} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y},q}/\mathfrak{q},$$

and

$$R = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\varphi}(\mathrm{DC}_e(U)),p} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X},p}/\mathfrak{p},$$

with inclusion $\tilde{\varphi}^* : R \to S$, and so that the induced inclusion of quotient fields $K \to L$ is a finite algebraic extension.

In the notation of the previous section, we have that $S = A_\gamma$ and $L = K_\gamma$. Let $V_\nu = V_e \cap L$ be the valuation ring of the restriction of ν_e to a valuation ν of $L = K_\gamma$ as described in the previous section. We will work for a while in the category of algebraic schemes (instead of complex analytic spaces).

Let r be the rank of ν, and let

$$(0) = P_0 \subset P_1 \subset \cdots \subset P_r$$

be the chain of prime ideals in the valuation ring $V_\nu = V_\gamma$ in L of ν. We have inclusions of fields

$$(R/P_i \cap R)_{P_i \cap R} \to (S/P_i \cap S)_{P_i \cap S}$$

for $0 \le i \le r$ which are all finite algebraic, since $R \to S$ is finite.

By Lemma 2.6, there exist subfields k_i of $R_{P_i \cap R}$, with $0 \le i \le n$, such that the induced maps $k_i \to (R/P_i \cap R)_{P_i \cap R}$ are finite.

By [14], there exists a blow up $Y_1 = \mathrm{Proj}(\bigoplus_{s \ge 0} \mathfrak{b}^s) \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y},q})$ of an ideal $\mathfrak{b}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y},q}$, such that Y_1 is nonsingular, and the strict transform $\overline{Y}_1$ of $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y},q}/\mathfrak{q})$ in Y_1 is nonsingular. There also exists a blow up $X_1 = \mathrm{Proj}(\bigoplus_{s \ge 0} \mathfrak{a}^s) \to \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X},p})$ of an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X},p}$, such that the strict transform $\overline{X}_1$ of $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X},p}/\mathfrak{p})$ in X_1 is nonsingular and is a connected component of X_1, and such that $\mathfrak{b}\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}_1}$ is an invertible ideal sheaf, so that we have an induced morphism $\overline{X}_1 \to \overline{Y}_1$. Let S_1 be the local ring of the center of ν on X_1, and let R_1 be the local ring of the center of ν on $\overline{Y}_1$.

By the discussion before the statement of Theorem 5.3 [6], there exist sequences of monoidal transforms $R_1 \to R_2$ and $S_1 \to S_2$ along ν, such that we have an inclusion $R_2 \to S_2$ and

$$\mathrm{trdeg}_{(R_2/P_i \cap R_2)_{P_i \cap R_2}} (V_\nu/P_i)_{P_i} = 0$$

for $0 \le i \le r$. Since R_2 is essentially of finite type over R and S_2 is essentially of finite type over S, we have that $(R_2/P_i \cap R_2)_{P_i \cap R_2}$ is a finitely generated extension field of $(R/P_i \cap R)_{P_i \cap R}$, and $(S_2/P_i \cap S_2)_{P_i \cap S_2}$ is a finitely generated extension field of $(S/P_i \cap S)_{P_i \cap S}$. Thus $(S_2/P_i \cap S_2)_{P_i \cap S_2}$ is a finitely generated extension field of k_i for $0 \le i \le r$.

We have thus realized the assumptions required for application of Theorem 5.3 [6] (the statement of the existence of k_i, which is necessary for the application of Theorem 5.1 [6] in the course of the proof Theorem 5.3, was omitted from the statement of Theorem 5.3 in

[6], but is stated in the errata [7]). Thus, there exists a sequence of monoidal transforms $R_2 \to R_3$ and $S_2 \to S_3$ along ν such that we have an inclusion $R_3 \to S_3$, such that R_3 has regular parameters $z_1, \ldots, z_m$, S_3 has regular parameters $w_1, \ldots, w_m$, there exist units $\delta_i \in S_3$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and an $m \times m$ matrix (a_{ij}) of non negative integers with $\mathrm{Det}(a_{ij}) \neq 0$ such that there are expressions

$$z_i = \prod_{j=1}^{m} w_j^{a_{ij}} \delta_i \tag{8}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Here $m = \dim X = \dim R_2 = \dim S_2$, since the residue field of V_ν is $\mathbb{C}$, which is the residue field of S, and S has dimension m.

By Resolution of Singularities [14], there exist ideals $I \subset \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X},p}$ and $J \subset \mathcal{O}_{Y^*,q}$ such that there is a morphism of schemes $\Phi : Z = \mathrm{Proj}(\bigoplus_{s \geq 0} I^s) \to W = \mathrm{Proj}(\bigoplus_{s \geq 0} J^s)$ such that $S_3 = \mathcal{O}_{Z,p'}$ is the local ring of a closed point p' in Z, $\mathcal{O}_{W,q'}$ is a regular local ring, where $q' = \Phi(p')$, and there exists a nonsingular closed subscheme W' of W such that $R_3 = \mathcal{O}_{W',q'}$ is the local ring of q' in W'. Thus there exist regular parameters $z_1, \ldots, z_m, z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_n$ in $\mathcal{O}_{W,q}$ such that the stalk of the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{W'}$ of W' at q' is $\mathcal{I}_{W',q'} = (z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_n)$, $\mathcal{I}_{W',q'}$ is the kernel of $\Phi^*_{p'} : \mathcal{O}_{W,q'} \to \mathcal{O}_{Z,p'}$, and the induced map $\mathcal{O}_{W',q'} \to \mathcal{O}_{Z,p'}$ is the extension of (8) constructed above.

Let V be an analytic neighborhood of q in Y^* such that J extends to a coherent sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{J}$ on V. Let $\beta : Y_1 \to Y^*$ be the local blow up of $\mathcal{J}$ above V. Let U be an analytic neighborhood of p in $\tilde{X}$, such that I extends to a coherent sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{I}$ on U. Let $\alpha : X_1 \to \tilde{X}$ be the local blow up of $\mathcal{I}$ above U. We have that $\gamma\alpha \in e$. Taking U to be a sufficiently small neighborhood of p, we have that $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{O}_{X_1}$ is an invertible ideal sheaf (since $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{O}_Z$ is invertible), so that we have an induced morphism of analytic spaces $\varphi_1 : X_1 \to Y_1$. Let $p_1 = p_{\gamma\alpha}(e)$ and $q_1 = \varphi_1(p_1)$. Since the restriction of the valuation ν_e to L is ν, we have that $\mathcal{O}_{X_1,p_1}$ is the analytic local ring constructed from R_3, $\mathcal{O}_{Y_1,q_1}$ is the analytic local ring constructed from S_3, and $\varphi_1^* : \mathcal{O}_{Y_1,q_1} \to \mathcal{O}_{X_1,p_1}$ is the analyization of the homomorphism $\Phi^*_{p'}$. We thus have that p_1 is a nonsingular point of X_1 and q_1 is a nonsingular point of Y_1. Since $\mathrm{Det}(a_{ij}) \neq 0$, and $\mathcal{O}_{Y_1,q_1}$ is Henselian, we can make a change of variables at q_1 to get an expression (8) such that $\delta_i = 1$ for all i, giving the desired monomial form of the statement of the theorem. ☐

REFERENCES

[1] S. Abhyankar, On the valuations centered in a local domain, Amer. J. Math. 78, 321 - 348 (1956).

[2] D. Abramovich and K. Karu, Weak semistable reduction in characteristic 0, Invent. Math. 139 (2000), 241 - 273.

[3] D. Abramovich, J. Denef and K. Karu, Weak toroidalization over non closed fields, preprint.

[4] J. M. Aroca, H. Hironaka and J. L. Vicente, Introduction to the theory of infinitely near singular points, The theory of maximal contact, Desingularization theorems, Memorias de matematica del Insituto "Jorge Juan" 28 (1974), 29 (1975), 30 (1977).

[5] E. Bierstone and P. Milman Subanalytic Geometry, in Model Theory, Algebra and Geometry, MSRI Publications 39 (2000).

[6] S.D. Cutkosky, Local monomialization and factorization of morphisms, Astérisque 260, Société mathématique de France, (1999).

[7] S.D. Cutkosky, Errata of Local monomialization and factorization of morphisms, www.math.missouri.edu/˜dale

[8] S.D. Cutkosky, Local monomialization of transcendental extensions, Annales e L'Institut Fourier, 1517 - 1586, (2005).

[9] J. Denef, Monomialization of morphisms and p-adic quantifier elimination, preprint.

[10] Douady, Le problème des modules pour les sous-espaces analytiques compacts d'un espaces analytique donné, Ann. Inst. Fourier (1966), 1-95.
[11] A.M. Gabrielov, Formal relations between analytic functions, Math USSR IZV. 7 (1973) 1056 - 1088.
[12] A. Grothendieck, and A. Dieudonné, Eléments de géométrie algébrique IV, vol. 2, Publ. Math. IHES 24 (1965), vol. 4, Publ. Math. IHES 32 (1967).
[13] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry, Springer Verlag (1977).
[14] H. Hironaka, Resolution of Singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero, Annals of Math., 79 (1964), 109-326.
[15] H. Hironaka, Desingularization of complex-analytic varieties, in Actes du Congrès International des matheématicains (Nice, 1970) Tome 2, 627 - 631, Gauthier-Cillars, Paris, 1971.
[16] H. Hironaka, Introduction to real-analytic sets and real-analytic maps, Quaderni dei Gruppoi di Recerca Matematica del Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Insitutot Matematicao "L. Tonelli" dell'Università di Pisa, Pisa, 1973.
[17] H. Hironaka, La Voute étoilée, in Singularités à Cargèse, Astérisque 7 and 8, (1973).
[18] H. Hironaka, M. Lejeune-Jalabert and B. Teissier, Platificateur local en geometrie analytique et aplatissement local, in Singularités à Cargèse, Astérisque 7 and 8, (1973).
[19] S. Lojasiewicz, Introduction to complex analytic geometry, Birkhauser, (1991).
[20] H. Matsumura, Commutative Algebra, 2nd edition, Benjamin/Cummings (1980).
[21] M. Nagata, Local Rings, Wiley Interscience (1962).
[22] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, Commutative Algebra Vol II, Van Nostrand (1960).

Steven Dale Cutkosky, Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA
E-mail address: `cutkoskys@missouri.edu`